

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/529,255	04/11/2000	TAKANORI SHINOKI	2000-0465A	5270
75	90 04/22/2003			
WENDEROTH LIND & PONACK 2033 K STREET NW			EXAMINER	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			GUARRIELLO, JOHN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	H>
Office Action Summary	Application No. Applicant(s) 89/529255 SNNOKE et al. Examinar Group Art Unit 1971
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	2
P eriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. te, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) 7 1 8	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s) 12 - 1	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s) 9-11, 17, 18	
① Qfaim(s) 7-1/1/8	is/are rejected.
,	
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948.
 □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on 	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948. is approved all disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948. is approved a disapproved.
 □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948. is approved a disapproved.
 □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948. is approved a disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ Is/are object ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are object ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 15 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 15 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 15 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ted to by the Examiner.
 □ Claim(s) □ Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the company of the co	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ted to by the Examiner.
 Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on is/are object The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. 	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ted to by the Examiner. ander 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ■ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ted to by the Examiner. ander 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ■ Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are object □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ted to by the Examiner. ander 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on is/are object The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under late of the CERTIFIED copies of received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the Interest 	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ted to by the Examiner. ander 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unely All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the Interest Attachment(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ted to by the Examiner. ander 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ■ Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unelled a claim for foreign priority unelle	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. g Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ted to by the Examiner. ander 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). the priority documents have been ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. / 3

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

- 15. The Examiner acknowledges papers # 11 and 12, the amendment of 2/5/2003, and IDS of 3/3/2003.
- 16. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 18. Claims 9-11, 17, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinjou et al. 4,795,559.

Shinjou describes a semipermeable membrance support, (see abstract). Shinjou describes the support is a nonwoven fabric, (see abstract). Shinjou describes is made of polyester fibers, (see abstract). Shinjou describes air permeability of 0.1 to 5.00 cc/square cm./sec, (see abstract). Shinjou

Application/Control Number: 09/529255 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

describes the support contains 20-80% of polyester fiber, (column 2, lines 57-60). Shinjou describes a denier of 1 ro 3, (column 2, lines 60-63). Shinjou describes strength and elongation, see Table I, (column 7 and 8). Shinjou differs from the claimed invention because it is silent about heat shrinkage.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the heat shrinkage value since Shinjou describes the basic components and the ranges overlap the claimed invention.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but they are not considered to be germane to the new grounds of rejection.

- 19. Rejections not maintained are withdrawn in view the new art submitted.
- 20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Guarriello whose telephone number is 703-308-3209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8 am to 4 pm.

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

John J. Guarriello:gj

Patent Examiner

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

April 11, 2003